



BASS LAKE BULLETIN

Vol. XVI No. 6


The Voice of the Bass Lake Community

July 2018

www.basslakeaction.org



A picture is worth a thousand words. This turnover accident at the Bass Lake Road curve between Magnolia Hills Drive and Madera Way occurred on June 18th at about 10 o'clock. It is not known if there were any injuries.



EID GM COMMENTS ON NEW WATER LAW

The recently passed water efficiency legislation, Assembly Bill No. 1668 and Senate Bill No. 606, was widely opposed by water agencies across the state, EID included, said Jim Abercrombie, EID General Manager, recently.

The legislation prescribes a 55 gallon per person per day water limit for indoor usage. Outdoor usage has yet to be developed.

The new requirements do not restrict daily water use by individual customers, as has been erroneously reported by some internet sources.

Instead, the legislation requires water agencies to develop agency-wide water budgets, with local agencies determining how best to keep aggregate water use in their communities below agency-wide efficient water use targets.

When EID learned of these proposed bills, we reached out with urgency to legislators representing EID's service areas, including Assembly Members Kiley and Bigelow (for AB 1668) and Senator Gaines (for SB 606).

They all voted "No" on the legislation.

EID had been working closely with the statewide Association of California Water Agencies to get the bills amended to ensure they recognize distinct challenges and differences across the wide variety of water purveyors in the state.

Some of our concerns made it into the final form of the two bills.

The legislation at least recognizes differences between agencies and provides them with some leeway to meet goals through target setting—this is a positive thing for EID. We have spent years investing in our infrastructure and perfecting our water rights to create a resilient supply for our custom-

(continued on page 2)

OPINION

NEW WATER RATIONING LAW IS A TAX IN DISGUISE

Last month's column about California's new water rationing apparently upset some of the Golden State's swamp. This columnist pointed out that a new law signed by Gov. Jerry Brown set new "standards" of water usage. Here's what their water-rationing bill (now law) says, in language everyone can understand:

"The bill, until January 1, 2025, would establish 55 gallons per capita daily as the standard for indoor residential water use. ... The bill would impose civil liability for a violation of an order or regulation issued pursuant to these provisions, as specified."

Yet, when confronted with a public discussion about what this means, the swamp pulled together to "debunk" the argument that the water rationing with fines was, well, water rationing with fines.

The spin machine went into overdrive. A woman who works for the bill's author in the California legislature assured everyone on Twitter that stories would be forthcoming in the *Sacramento Bee* and Snopes to prove what critics were saying were lies.

The result looked very much like something the infamous Jonathan Gruber would have arranged. You remember Mr. Gruber—he's the guy who worked on Obamacare and admitted they had no idea what economic impact the law would have on Americans, but they saw the polls and said what people wanted to hear. They relied on the "stupidity of the American voter" to get the law passed. It appears Democrats in California are hoping that theory is true.

The *Sacramento Bee* came out swinging with a fascinating spin. If you take the *Bee* seriously, the new standard, which was important enough to specify in gallons and make law, is really just a suggestion.

"Water agencies will be encouraged to have their customers limit indoor water use to an average of 55 gallons a day per person ... as part of a broader 'water budget' strategy," offered the *Bee*.

(continued on page 3)

EID COMMENTS *(continued)*

ers and community. The legislation also establishes incentives for recycled water use that we intend to leverage and will hopefully contribute as a benefit in our agency-wide targets.

Now that SB 606 and AB 1668 have been signed into law, along with their framework for indoor residential water use standards, EID will work closely with the State Water Resources Control Board and the California Department of Water Resources while the final outdoor water use standards are developed. These two agencies, under a public process, will develop the guidelines and methodologies on calculating outdoor water use standards by October 2021.

As always, EID will advocate tirelessly and continue to urgently work on behalf of our customers throughout the process.

It will be up to EID how we meet the aggregate water targets. EID's customers have shown they are able to increase their water efficiency in times of drought. We will continue to work with our customers to increase efficiency and identify projects that can help the district potentially meet the requirements of the new framework.

By undertaking projects like the piping of the Upper Main Ditch, EID will potentially see lasting conservation benefits, especially in light of the new water efficiency legislation. The Upper Main Ditch project will reduce water loss, protect drinking water quality, and reduce operations and maintenance costs.

There is also the potential to credit the water that will no longer be lost to seepage and evapotranspiration after piping the unlined ditch. This could help to meet the requirements of the new efficiency legislation.

EID has always made the most of its projects, and we'll continue to do just that—we engineer each project to maximize long lasting benefits to the customers and communities we serve.

EID will continue to work hard for our customers to mitigate the effects of this legislation. Through our long-term planning and wise investments in infrastructure—as well as future investment in projects that help satisfy the requirements of this legislation—we aim to leverage the resiliency of our system to the benefit of all of our ratepayers. ~

Credit: Jesse Saich, EID Public Information Officer



EID ANSWERS QUESTIONS ABOUT THE NEW WATER LEGISLATION

On May 31, 2018, Governor Brown signed into law two new bills that will require urban water providers throughout California to set new permanent water use targets for their service areas by 2022. While many details for implementing the new water use requirements will be determined over the next several years, the overall framework includes:

- A standard for indoor residential water use of 55 gallons per person per day that will drop incrementally to 50 gallons beginning in 2030.
- A standard for outdoor residential water use (not yet determined) based upon a community's climate and the amount of landscaped area.
- A standard for water loss due to leaks in water system pipes (not yet determined).

EID will continue to communicate updates on our website and in the Waterfront as we navigate the impacts of the legislation.

How will the new laws impact customers?

There are no immediate impacts to customers. Over the next several years, specific water use targets will be set for a water provider's overall service area (not on an individual basis) based upon the standards outlined in the laws. Once water provider-level targets are established in 2022 and implementation begins in 2023, water providers may choose to work with individual households and businesses to increase their water efficiency through available rebates, services and programs.



The Summer Sun

Will it be illegal to take a shower and wash clothes in the same day, as some media have reported?

No. There is nothing in the laws that specifies when or how often a person may shower, do laundry, or use water for any other purpose. The new laws outline an overall framework for setting and meeting water use targets at the water provider level. While the framework outlined in the laws does include a goal for individual water use of 55 gallons per person per day beginning in 2022, this applies on an overall system-wide basis (and not an individual basis).

How hard will it be to meet the indoor target of 55 gallons per person per day?

It's important to note that the target of 55 gallons per person per day is not a goal for individual water use, but will be measured across a water provider's entire service area. That said, water industry experts are projecting that many people are already meeting this target or do not have far to go.

To help people reduce their indoor water use, many water providers offer rebates for upgrading fixtures to high-efficiency toilets and clothes washers. Be sure to look for the WaterSense and ENERGY STAR labels. You can learn more about rebates at <http://bewatersmart.info/rebates-services/>.

Will EID be monitoring and evaluating individual water use as part of the new laws?

No. There is no requirement in the new laws that individual households must meet a specific target. The new laws provide a framework for setting targets, but those will be applied on a system-wide basis, and progress toward achieving targets will be reviewed on a system-wide basis.

Will individual residents and businesses be fined for not meeting water use targets?

The regulations and associated water use targets are required for the water provider as a whole (including all customers) NOT at the individual resident or business level. There is nothing in the law that specifies when or how often a person may shower, do laundry, or use water for any other purpose. The new law outlines an overall framework for setting and meeting water use targets at the water provider level.

Therefore, individuals and businesses will not be fined by the state for not meeting the water provider water use target. However, individuals and businesses may be fined for violating current local water provider water waste ordinances and guidelines.

(continued on page 3)



The EDITOR'S CORNER

Hello All,

Housing starts appear to be booming, based on my informal observation of how many ready-mix cement trucks I see on the road. And sure enough, this month the Bulletin highlights several new developments in our area.

As sad as I am to see our rural landscape being taken up by houses, I have to remember that we wouldn't have the convenience of a new Safeway store on Bass Lake Road if not for all the new houses. After all, a certain critical mass of what the grocery industry calls rooftops (houses) have to be around a store to make it profitable.

I'm also looking forward to the inevitable satellite stores around the Safeway store: surely a nail salon, a pizza parlor, a Starbucks (or equivalent), a sandwich shop, etc.

Then there's the ongoing saga of water in California. Despite its critics, and perhaps partly because of them, EID seems to be coping with the (insert your adjective here) water regulations the state has just started to impose. Several articles, and an opinion piece, address the issue in this Bulletin.

Also debuting this issue is the first of several articles about propane, which should be of interest to our readers, as prices have gone up considerably recently.

Though this is the July Bulletin, Independence Day has already come and gone. We had a great Fourth of July, and I hope you all had a good time, too.

Some of you may have noted that we no longer have an mailing box on our back page. Since we no longer mail the Bulletin, it has been eliminated. Now we have more space for stories and features.

That's about it for this month. See you in August!

Sincerely,

John E. Thomson

Editor

QUESTIONS *(continued)*

What must EID do to help implement the law?

EID will be working with the State Water Board and others over the next several years to define how the new laws will be implemented. EID will also be closely monitoring how the new laws might work within the communities we serve. In the meantime, EID will continue to encourage efficiency by offering a variety of rebates to increase the efficiency of indoor and outdoor water use through replacing older, less efficient fixtures such as toilets, clothes washers, and irrigation equipment with newer more efficient models. You can learn more about rebates at <http://bewatersmart.info/rebates-services/>.

What are the next steps for implementing the new laws?

The laws will now be translated into regulations, which will outline details and rules for implementing the intent of the laws at the local level. Stakeholders (water providers, non-profit organizations and other interested parties) will work together over the next several years with state agencies (including the State Water Resources Control Board and the California Department of Water Resources) to finalize the regulations by the required deadline of 2022. ~

Credit: Jesse Saich, EID Public Information Officer

OPINION *(continued)*

The fact of the matter is this: Water agencies will be fined based on how well their districts ration. Those fines are \$1,000 a day. After assuring people that all they need to do is buy a new washing machine or change their shower heads, the Bee coyly mentions at the end of its article, "Sure, a district could pass those costs onto your water bill, but think dollars and cents instead of thousands out of your bank account."

Wouldn't you love to have the Bee's oracle? Because never in recent history have we been told one thing about what a new law would cost you and have it be the opposite. You know, like being told a national health insurance scheme would save your family \$2,300 a year, when it ended up costing you at least \$5,000 or more.

As those reporters shamefully run interference for Sacramento's politicians, what they describe is even more disturbing than individuals being targeted: If a family follows all the rules and rations their water use, they will still be fined or penalized based on

what others in the district are doing. When you're paying for what others are doing, how is one to protest?

This is a new tax, plain and simple; the arrangement of a rationing law so absurd that it cannot be adhered to by most, guarantees the new cash flow into Sacramento. In other words, this scheme isn't about water conservation or climate change. It's about the state taking more of your money ostensibly for wasting water, an issue on which they are the most egregious offender.

Harmeet Dhillon, California attorney and Republican National Committeewoman from the Golden State, had this to say about the shenanigans:

"We are used to being conned with taxes hidden in 'plans' and 'budgets' and 'goals' every day in California — see our recent carbon tax in the guise of 'cap and trade,' the highest gas taxes in the nation, high tolls on the roads, and even a proposal by the governor to tax us per mile we drive. But even Californians inured to the rising tax burdens are beginning to fight back against our command economy overlords.

"In June's primary, voters in Southern California recalled — by a large margin — a state Senator who voted to raise gas taxes on his car-loving constituents. And like the Boston patriots who protested the haughty British imposition of a heavy tax on tea, legislators and bureaucrats who dare to impose higher taxes and penalties on ordinary citizens going about their business and utilizing a totally renewable resource — water — in a hygienic and responsible way — may find that it is the water police who get dunked this time around."

The willingness of reporters to help California politicians gaslight the citizens like this has been shocking. The San Diego Union-Tribune went so far as to mock the use of math that critics use to explain what California's bill actually means.

Math often matters when it comes to facts. The Department of Interior thinks numbers are important, too. It reports the average person uses on everyday, necessary activities 80-100 gallons of water a day.

In the meantime, California will continue to waste hundreds of billions of gallons of water a year through a crumbling infrastructure. But in the words of Democratic leader Rahm Emanuel, liberals should never let a good crisis go to waste. Apparently including those they create. ~

Credit: Columnist Tammy Bruce, Washington Post.



FOLSOM HOUSING SOUTH OF HIWAY 50 GOING UP SOON

In more ways than one?

The most anticipated new housing community in the Sacramento region, south of Highway 50 in Folsom, goes “vertical” soon, with the construction of model homes, followed by homes for sale.

Early buyers could be living on oak-studded hillsides by the end of the year, builders say.

The project site is a massive 3,300 acres, just south of the freeway and north of White Rock Road, between Prairie City Road and the El Dorado County line. It will contain nearly 11,000 homes and apartments, three public schools, two fire stations, a police station, and 82 acres of office and commercial buildings.

The community ultimately will house 25,000 residents, enlarging the city of Folsom by one-third. It will bring new home-buying opportunities, but also growth pains.

Here’s an early look at what the project means for home buyers, east county residents and Highway 50 commuters.

What the new homes will cost

Folsom has built a reputation as a desirable place to live. New homes are in short supply in the region. Therefore buyers will pay a premium to live in the new community, which is divided into Folsom Ranch and Russell Ranch.

The price range is wide, with some of the first homes for sale this year in the high \$400,000s to \$600,000s. By comparison, the median price for a new home in Sacramento County sold in February was \$419,000, and the median for a resale home was \$330,000.

Taylor Morrison home builder division chief Aren Bazzocco said his company will begin selling homes the first week of June. He said he feels like a pioneer, but expects his company’s houses to sell well. Taylor Morrison has 1,000 people on its interest list for 206 homes. Square footage is roughly

between 1,800 and 3,100, and prices start in the high \$400,000s.

Lennar Homes, the other initial builder, will offer slightly larger homes, 2,200 to 3,700 square feet, starting in the \$600,000s.

Officials of the home builder The New Home Company say they plan to build in Russell Ranch next spring, with homes ranging from the \$400,000s to \$800,000s, but with some larger-lot homes with grander views that could top \$1 million.

Folsom real estate has been hot. The average sales price is up nearly 12 percent this year, at \$587,000, according to an analysis by real estate appraiser Ryan Lundquist. But Lundquist said builders must be careful not to overprice their homes. Buyers are savvy enough to study the local market and know when the asking price is too high.

Who will buy?

The first houses are being pitched at move-up buyers who own smaller homes elsewhere, first-time buyers who have a big enough down payment, and people moving in from outside the area.

Bazzocco of Taylor Morrison said about half the people on his interest list live in the Folsom area. Another 30 percent are Bay Area emigres wanting to flee exorbitant housing costs. The rest live around the Sacramento region.

Local housing analyst Greg Paquin said the project will tap into what he calls the “boomerang” buyers — people who grew up in or near Folsom and have been looking for their chance to move back as adults. Notably, he and others say, a quarter or more of buyers likely will be from the millennial generation, ages 22 to 37, who are now surging into the home-buying market.

Highway congestion

Folsom officials say the new south of 50 area will have 1.3 jobs per house, allowing more people to live and work in the community. But even if the city achieves that goal, it falls short of the 2-1 ratio needed for a true balance, according to a Sacramento Area Council of Governments metric.

That means some new residents will commute elsewhere for work, adding to Highway 50 congestion, as well as putting more commuters on back roads in east Sac-

ramento County, mainly White Rock and Grant Line roads.

In preparation, White Rock and Grant Line will be widened to four lanes from El Dorado County to Elk Grove. That work has already started and will advance incrementally as the east county population grows.

Two new Highway 50 interchanges are planned. The first is likely to be at Empire Ranch Road, on the county line, tentatively set for 2023.

Another, Oak Avenue Parkway, is expected to be built between the Prairie City and Scott Road interchanges.

State highway officials, in conjunction with Sacramento Regional Transit, recently won \$110 million in state gas tax funds this month to extend the Highway 50 carpool lanes from Watt Avenue into downtown. Some funds also will expand evening light-rail service to Folsom and add express trains during commute hours.

Folsom water and traffic worries

Folsom residents dealt with severe water rationing during the most recent drought, and growth critics have questioned how the city of 77,000 can add 25,000 residents without increasing its water supply or risking deep water cuts during the next drought.

City officials, however, say the city has enough water in normal rainfall years to cover new development south of 50, thanks in part to a series of efficiency upgrades. In drought years, the city will rely on agreements with other water agencies to obtain water, officials say.

Traffic on local streets is another concern. Folsom Boulevard and other city streets already are overrun with traffic.

City Councilman Roger Gaylord said he wants the city to focus on dealing with current congestion before new cars begin flooding the freeway from the new development area.

Effect on housing crisis

Opinions are mixed on whether the new south-of-50 housing will make a dent in the Sacramento area’s housing crisis.

The region has seen an increase of only 22,000 homes in the last five years, a mere third of the estimated 64,000 needed to accommodate the region’s population and job growth, according to a recent Sacramento Area Council of Governments analysis.

That has pushed up home prices and fed some of the sharpest rent increases in the nation.

Though the new Folsom area is expected to include apartments, city officials say they

(continued on page 5)




FOLSOM *(continued)*

have not yet received any building applications for apartments.

City officials said builders will build at a slow to moderate pace in the new area, likely constructing no more than 300 homes annually. It will take a couple of decades to fully build out. Paquin said builders, faced with labor shortages and high development costs, are moving cautiously.

North State Building Industry Association head Michael Strech said housing construction in Folsom, Roseville, Elk Grove, Natomas and elsewhere does chip away at the problem, though not fast enough. ~

Credit: Tony Bizjak, Sacramento Bee



LOCAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

In June the El Dorado County Planning Commissioners approved county staff's recommendations to allow revisions to the Campobello housing project, including a timeline extension and road improvements.

Campobello

The future Campobello project is located on 32 acres located south of Highway 50 in Cameron Park. It is bordered by Beasley Drive, Voltaire Drive and lastly Marble Valley Road, which extends from Cambridge Drive and would be improved during the first phase of construction. During the first two phases of Campobello, 24 lots would be built with 45 lots planned at build-out.

While the project was approved by the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors in September 2007, it stalled after the economic downturn that followed. Applicants Rob and Joan Wachter asked for a timeline extension to complete the project which was adopted by the Board of Supervisors in 2007. In the meantime, the project has been annexed into El Dorado Irrigation District.

Marble Valley Road became a sticking point when the item was on the commission's May 10 meeting, and a vote to approve or deny was continued after commissioners said they needed more information. The road would be widened and built along its frontage, adding curbs, gutters and a sidewalk to Flying C, but would also benefit future Marble Valley projects, which include

490 lots and would be one part of Parker Development Company's larger Village of Marble Valley Specific Plan that ultimately proposes 3,236 homes, 475,000 square feet of commercial space, 87 acres of public facilities/recreational space, 1,282 acres of open space and 42 acres of agricultural land. This plan was first approved in 1998 and is expected to take 20 to 30 years to complete.

At the May 10 meeting commissioners expressed concern that work on Marble Valley Road would be done without any timeline for when the Marble Valley project would commence. "We are working on a draft EIR for Marble Valley with county staff," Parker Development's Director of Government Relations Kirk Bone told Cameron Park Life after the meeting. "I am confident we will have a public hearing on the project in the next 12 months."

Bone said the delay may be attributed to several factors. "These documents take a long time to write," he said. "There are also rule changes and Measure E sent us back to the drawing board. It's very difficult to add to the housing supply shortage under these circumstances."

A motion was made by District 5 Commissioner Brian Shinault and seconded by District 3 Commissioner Jeff Hansen to approve staff's recommended actions. The commission voted 5-0 to approve. If the Board of Supervisors agrees, the construction of Campobello could begin immediately.

Bell Ranch

Preliminary site work has started on a few of the Bass Lake Hills Specific Plan residential projects along Bass Lake Road by developer Lennar Homes/Winncrest Homes.

Several BLAC members have noticed that the water tank from Doug Veerkamp construction is on site just north of Holy Trinity Catholic Church - this will be the Bell Ranch 113 home residential development. When requesting information from our District 1 supervisor, we were told that the County's DOT Senior Civil Engineer working with the project has indicated that this is preliminary "grubbing" work - clearing away grasses and some oak trees, and preparing the site for access by water trucks for dust and airborne asbestos mitigation prior to grading getting underway, which the DOT Civil Engineer believes will begin in a few weeks.

Bell Woods

According to Cameron Park CSD General Manager Jill Ritzman, Bell Woods is a development project that will break ground soon in Cameron Park. Bell Woods is east of the existing Laurel Oaks development

at the end of Hollow Oak Road. Access to the development will be from Cameron Park, presumably from Knollwood Drive, with secondary access on Salt Wash Way in Laurel Oak. Lennar began planning the residential project, which includes open space with oaks trees, landscaped areas and an active homeowners' association, in the 1990s. A full presentation will be made at the CPCSD Board of Directors meeting in July.

Hawk View

Lennar Homes has been working the the 117 residential lot Hawk View project along Bass Lake Road at Hawk View Rd since the beginning of the year. This was a project that had some rough grading for home site pads back in 2007. There has been blasting, grading and sewer/underground work going on since last winter.

Click [here](#) to view a map of the Bass Lake Hills Specific Plan and a look at the location of Hawk View, Bell Woods, and Bell Ranch. Also on this map is the approved 90-home Bass Lake North project, which is located east of the Serrano J5/J6 residential project and the Sienna Ridge/Safeway shopping center.

Country Club Drive Realignment

These projects in the Bass Lake Hills Specific Plan require, as a condition of approval, the realignment and extension of Country Club Drive roughly along the current City Lights Road alignment to a new signalized intersection with Bass Lake Road. If the new Country Club Road is not completed within two years of the agreement, then the developer must pay a \$1,500 per home fee (\$400,000). If not completed within three years of the agreement, then they must pay a \$2,500 per home fee (\$700,000). Our original understanding was that the work on Country Club Drive would start prior to the work on the residential development, but that isn't as clear now. ~

Credits: John Davey, BLAC; and Julie Samrick, Cameron Park Life





PROPANE PRICES

“What’s the price today?” is by far the most common question that any propane dealer ever gets, mainly because of the recent surge in propane prices.

The answer will always be in dollars and cents. However, propane prices are more complex than just the price per gallon. Propane is a commodity that is refined from other commodities, mainly crude oil, and because oil is traded on a worldwide market,



Water

Everything on the earth bristled, the bramble
pricked and the green thread
nibbled away, the petal fell, falling
until the only flower was the falling itself.

Water is another matter,
has no direction but its own bright grace,
runs through all imaginable colors,
takes limpid lessons
from stone,
and in those functionings plays out
the unrealized ambitions of the foam.

—Pablo Neruda

its price can fluctuate greatly depending on factors too many to name or explain here.

However, because the price of propane is unregulated in California, it mostly depends on (1) the price the dealer must pay the wholesale distributor, (2) the expenses of the dealer, (3) the profit desired by the dealer, limited by (4) the prices offered by the dealer’s competitors.

Before you jump to the conclusion that government regulation of the price of propane is the way to lower propane prices, consider that, in the past, often those who are regulated soon co-opt the regulator and conspire to fix prices, in a process known as regulatory capture.

Regulatory capture is a form of government failure which happens when a regulatory agency, created to act in the public interest, instead advances the commercial well-being of the business firms that they are supposed to regulate.

The basic logic behind the capture theory of regulation is that while the general public is largely ignorant of the regulator’s activities, those in the regulated industries are well-informed, and pressure regulators for favorable regulation. Furthermore, information about regulated industries is largely under the control of those in the industry, and personal connections between regulators and the regulated also influence regulatory outcomes. The result is that regulatory agencies act as agents for those they regulate, not the general public.

So what should you do if you are dissatisfied with your present propane provider? Generally, three choices are available: change your provider, negotiate prices, or get your own propane tank.

Changing providers often means changing your tank, but here in El Dorado County most companies will change out your tank for theirs and pay any penalty charged by your old provider.

Negotiating prices works best when you have a number of contiguous neighbors that agree to buy from a single provider, and the provider agrees to charge mutually agreed-upon prices for both propane and tank rental for a set period, and change out your old tanks for free. This method works because the customers end up paying less, and the dealer ends up with lower delivery costs and increased revenues.

Such an arrangement was negotiated several years ago by Bass Lake Action Committee on behalf of the combined neighborhoods of Bridlewood Canyon, Woodridge and Sierra Crossing. There are now over 300 homes participating in the

plan, which provides for a price of about fifty cents over the wholesale price paid by JS West, and a fixed rate tank rental.

Buying your own tank may sound good, but has its inherent problems. First, many dealers will only fill their own tanks; those dealers that will fill your tank may fill your tank last, after their own tanks. Second, all maintenance, such as fixing pipes and replacing regulators, are your responsibility instead of that of the dealer. Third, you bear the initial cost and installation of the tank. ~

Note: This is the first of a series of articles on propane - Ed.



BLAC BOARD SETS AUGUST MEETING

The August Board Meeting of the Bass Lake Action Committee will be held on Monday, August 6, 2018, at the home of John and Fran Thomson, 501 Kirkwood court, Woodridge, El Dorado Hills, 530-677-3039.

The meeting will begin at 7:00 P.M. BLAC Members and members of the public are cordially invited to attend, but please call and let us know you are coming, so we may arrange for seating.

For further information about BLAC meetings and membership, please contact Vice-President John Davey at 530-676-2657.. ~



The Bass Lake Bulletin is published monthly by the Bass Lake Action Committee, 501 Kirkwood Court, El Dorado Hills, CA 95762. Copyright © 2018. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording or any storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission from the Bass Lake Action Committee.

John E. Thomson, Ph.D., Editor
doctorjet@aol.com - 530-677-3039

For additional information contact:
Vice President John Davey